2010 Email Motion ABC BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Clarification of Judges' Education Mentor Selection Procedure Original Motion withdrawn and replaced with this one.

Motion by: Robert Wire, West Coast Director Seconded by: John Henderson, West Coast Director

Date of Motion: Friday, February 5, 2010 Dates for Discussion (7 days): Sat., Feb. 6 – Wed., Feb. 12, 2010 Dates Votes Accepted (5 days): Sat., Feb. 13 – Wed. Feb. 17, 2010

Motion: I move that the Board of Directors give the Judges' Education

Committee the ability to determine if a candidate for an ABC Mentor/Presenter meets the qualifications as outlined in the ABC Policy Book. The Chairman of the Committee will take a written vote by email, U.S. mail, or fax approving or disapproving of candidates with a majority vote of committee members. If a committee member casts a NO vote, they shall indicate on the ballot which of the ABC Mentor's Criteria (item # 6, number and/or letter) listed on the American Brittany Judges' Education section of the Policy Book that the candidate fails to meet.

While individual votes will remain confidential as though

the committee is meeting in Executive Session to discuss personnel, ballots will be sent to the ABC President, an ex-officio member of all committees, for verification of the vote, yes and no.

If a candidate is rejected by a majority vote of the Judges' Education Committee, the candidate shall be notified by the Committee Chair and advised of the reason(s) for the negative vote. Rationale:

Motion # 16 voted on and passed at the 2009 meeting of the BOD in Booneville, does not clearly define the intent or purpose of the discussion that took place in Executive Session which dealt with an individual situation and not a policy in general. The motion reads as follows: *I move that the BOD give the support to the Judges' Education Committee that they will be the best people to determine the people who are to become mentors.*

This adds a whole new slant on how ABC Mentors/Presenters are to be determined as the wording is broad based and not specific to one individual situation. If the BOD is going to allow the Judges' Education Committee to make that determination, then some additional policies need to be put into place.

1. The new motion spells out that these are American Brittany Club designated Mentors/Presenters and not those qualified under AKC guidelines..

2. Written votes shall be taken to verify the actual vote in the same manner as

are votes taken by the Hall of Fame (people and dog) and Classics committees.

3. The President should receive the ballots from the Committee Chair after the voting has taken place in order to inform him of a candidate's approval or reason for rejection by the committee. 4. As the policy book reads now, no one is authorized to determine if someone meets all the qualifications and may or may not represent ABC as an ABC Mentor.

DIRECTOR	YES	NO	ABSTAINED	NO VOTE
D. Dow	XX			
R. Gorman		XX		
L. Pollock	XX			
T. Robin	XX			
B. Ackerman			XX	
K. Patterson	XX			
J. Brigham	XX			
J. Chase	XX			
E. Janulis			XX	
B. Rankin	XX			
M. Karbiner	XX			
S. Truksa	XX			
J. Henderson	XX			
B. Landress				XX
R. Wire	XX			

Yes___11____, No__1___, Abstained__2___, Not Voting ____1 MOTION: PASSED___xx____