

**2010 Email Motion
ABC BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

***Clarification of Judges' Education Mentor Selection Procedure
Original Motion withdrawn and replaced with this one.***

Motion by: Robert Wire, West Coast Director

Seconded by: John Henderson, West Coast Director

Date of Motion: Friday, February 5, 2010

Dates for Discussion (7 days): Sat., Feb. 6 – Wed., Feb. 12, 2010

Dates Votes Accepted (5 days): Sat., Feb. 13 – Wed. Feb. 17, 2010

Motion: I move that the Board of Directors give the Judges' Education Committee the ability to determine if a candidate for an ABC Mentor/Presenter meets the qualifications as outlined in the ABC Policy Book. The Chairman of the Committee will take a written vote by email, U.S. mail, or fax approving or disapproving of candidates with a majority vote of committee members. If a committee member casts a NO vote, they shall indicate on the ballot which of the ABC Mentor's Criteria (item # 6, number and/or letter) listed on the American Brittany Judges' Education section of the Policy Book that the candidate fails to meet.

While individual votes will remain confidential as though the committee is meeting in Executive Session to discuss personnel, ballots will be sent to the ABC President, an ex-officio member of all committees, for verification of the vote, yes and no.

If a candidate is rejected by a majority vote of the Judges' Education Committee, the candidate shall be notified by the Committee Chair and advised of the reason(s) for the negative vote.

Rationale:

Motion # 16 voted on and passed at the 2009 meeting of the BOD in Booneville, does not clearly define the intent or purpose of the discussion that took place in Executive Session which dealt with an individual situation and not a policy in general.

The motion reads as follows: ***I move that the BOD give the support to the Judges' Education Committee that they will be the best people to determine the people who are to become mentors.***

This adds a whole new slant on how ABC Mentors/Presenters are to be determined as the wording is broad based and not specific to one individual situation. If the BOD is going to allow the Judges' Education Committee to make that determination, then some additional policies need to be put into place.

1. The new motion spells out that these are American Brittany Club designated Mentors/Presenters and not those qualified under AKC guidelines..

2. Written votes shall be taken to verify the actual vote in the same manner as are votes taken by the Hall of Fame (people and dog) and Classics committees.

3. The President should receive the ballots from the Committee Chair after the voting has taken place in order to inform him of a candidate's approval or reason for rejection by the committee.

4. As the policy book reads now, no one is authorized to determine if someone meets all the qualifications and may or may not represent ABC as an ABC Mentor.